UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/503,960	02/14/2000	Robert J. Ratterman	2043.002US1	7340	
49845 7590 09/28/2011 SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG & WOESSNER/EBAY P.O. BOX 2938 MINITED DOLLS: ADJ 55 402			EXAMINER		
			BOSWELL, BETH V		
WIINNEAPOLI	NEAPOLIS, MN 55402		PAPER NUMBER		
			3623		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/28/2011	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPTO@SLWIP.COM request@slwip.com

Examinar Initiated Interview Summers	09/503,960	RATTERMAN ET AL.				
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	BETH V. BOSWELL	3623				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>BETH V. BOSWELL</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Jun Wei</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>01 June 2010</u> .						
Type: X Telephonic Video Conference Personal [copy given to: Applicant [applicant's representative]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes [If Yes, brief description:	□ No.					
Issues Discussed 101 112 102 103 Other (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detail						
Claim(s) discussed:						
Identification of prior art discussed:						
Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc)						
Examiner attempted to contact Applicant multiple times from subject matter, but was unable to reach the applicant. Exar discuss the application.						
Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview. Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised. Attachment						
	/BETH V BOSWELL/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Un	nit 3623				

Application No.

Applicant(s)